

This article was downloaded by:

On: 27 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Nucleosides, Nucleotides and Nucleic Acids

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597286>

Amppcp Acts Via P₁ and Not P₂ Receptors in Some Isolated Smooth Muscle Preparations

S. M. O. Hourani^a; S. J. Bailey^a; J. Nicholls^a; I. Kitchen^a

^a Receptor Research Group, Department of Biochemistry, University of Surrey, Surrey

To cite this Article Hourani, S. M. O. , Bailey, S. J. , Nicholls, J. and Kitchen, I.(1991) 'Amppcp Acts Via P₁ and Not P₂ Receptors in Some Isolated Smooth Muscle Preparations', *Nucleosides, Nucleotides and Nucleic Acids*, 10: 5, 1203 – 1205

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/07328319108047276

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07328319108047276>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

AMPPCP ACTS VIA P₁ AND NOT P₂ RECEPTORS
IN SOME ISOLATED SMOOTH MUSCLE PREPARATIONS

S.M.O. Hourani*, S.J. Bailey, J. Nicholls and I Kitchen.
Receptor Research Group, Department of Biochemistry,
University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, GU2 5XH

Abstract

Isolated tissue studies using the P₁-purinoceptor antagonist 8-SPT show that AMPPCP acts entirely via P₁ receptors in the rat duodenum and by P₁ and P₂Y receptors in the guinea-pig taenia caeci.

Adenosine and adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP) have direct pharmacological effects on many isolated smooth muscle preparations, interacting with P₁- and P₂-purinoceptors respectively. ATP is also rapidly degraded, eventually to adenosine, by ectonucleotidases present on smooth muscle. P₂-purinoceptors have been subdivided into P₂X (mediating contraction) and P₂Y (usually mediating relaxation), largely on the basis of agonist potencies: 2-methylthioATP (MeSATP) is more potent than ATP on P₂Y but equipotent on P₂X receptors, whereas the slowly degradable analogues α,β -methyleneATP (AMPCPP) and β,γ -methylene ATP (AMPPCP) are more potent than ATP on P₂X but less potent on P₂Y receptors¹.

Recent experiments using the rat colon muscularis mucosae, which possesses P₁- and P₂Y-like purinoceptors, both of which, unusually, mediate contraction, have shown that the effects of AMPPCP, like those of adenosine, were powerfully inhibited by the P₁ antagonist 8-(p-sulphophenyl)theophylline (8-SPT), whereas those of ATP and AMPCPP were unaffected². This unexpected finding suggested that AMPPCP acts via P₁ receptors in this tissue, rather than weakly via P₂Y receptors. We have therefore investigated whether its effects in two other tissues, the guinea-pig taenia caeci and the rat duodenum, both of which relax in response to adenosine and ATP, are mediated via P₁ or P₂Y receptors. Unlike ATP, AMPPCP is not significantly degraded to adenosine in either tissue during 20 minutes incubation.

Tissues were suspended in organ baths containing Krebs' solution (35°C), aerated with 95% O₂ - 5% CO₂ and placed under a resting tension of 1g, and responses

were recorded isometrically. Concentration-response curves to agonists were obtained non-cumulatively and tissues were preincubated with 8-SPT for 30 min. before concentration-response curves to the agonists were repeated, with the antagonist being added again after each washout. Relaxations were measured following precontraction of the tissues with carbachol.

In the rat duodenum the relaxant effects of ATP, AMPCPP and MeSATP were not affected by 8-SPT (100 μ M), whereas the effects of adenosine and AMPPCP (up to 100 μ M) were abolished. Lower concentrations of 8-SPT (5-50 μ M) also abolished responses to AMPPCP in this tissue and a parallel shift was observed only at 2 μ M 8-SPT. At 1 μ M, 8-SPT did not affect the dose-response curve to AMPPCP. Adenosine was paradoxically less affected by the antagonist, with parallel shifts being observed with concentrations of 8-SPT between 10 and 50 μ M, and little or no antagonism being observed at lower concentrations. 8-SPT, at concentrations of 20 and 2 μ M produced similar shifts in the dose-response curves to adenosine and AMPPCP respectively, dose ratios of approximately 5 being estimated. The data were not consistent with a Schild plot of unit slope and thus no estimate of pA₂ could be made using either agonist.

In the guinea-pig taenia caeci 8-SPT (50 and 100 μ M) again inhibited the relaxant effects of adenosine but not those of ATP, AMPCPP or MeSATP. Responses to AMPPCP were also inhibited, but not to the same extent as those of adenosine, as 8-SPT (100 μ M) produced a dose-ratio of close to 2 for AMPPCP but a dose-ratio of close to 7 for adenosine.

These results and our previous work on the rat colon² show that AMPPCP has unexpected direct actions on P₁-purinoceptors in smooth muscle, although it is commonly regarded as a P₂ agonist selective for P_{2X}-purinoceptors. This effect is all the more surprising as the structurally closely related analogue AMPCPP, like ATP itself and MeSATP, appears to be free from this P₁ agonist activity. In the taenia caeci AMPPCP was not inhibited by 8-SPT to the same extent as adenosine, suggesting that in this tissue it does have a significant P_{2Y} effect. However, in the duodenum AMPPCP was inhibited by 8-SPT even more powerfully than was adenosine itself, and *did not* appear to have any P_{2Y} purinoceptor activity at concentrations up to 100 μ M. The dose ratios observed for 8-SPT against the two agonists were, however, not consistent with a simple competitive antagonism at a homogeneous receptor population, although in other tissues competitive antagonism has been observed³.

REFERENCES

1. Burnstock, G. & Kennedy, C. (1985) *Gen. Pharmac.* 16: 433-440.

2. Bailey, S.J. & Hourani, S.M.O. (1990) Br. J. Pharmac., in press.
3. Collis, M.G., Jacobson, K.A. & Tomkins, D.M. (1987). Br. J. Pharmac. 92: 69-75